

REMARKS

The examiner has rejected claims 8-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,187,853 to Bardaville. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in light of U.S. Patent No. 5,187,853 to Bardaville.

Independent claim 8 has been amended herein to include the limitation of two corners being pressed into the wall.

Claims 9-15 depend from currently amended Claim 8 and provide further limitations. It is axiomatic that if the broader independent claim is not anticipated or made obvious in light of the prior art, then neither are the dependent claims that append therefrom.

Upon entrance of this amendment, claims 1-5, 7-20 remain in the application. Claim 6 has been previously canceled. Claim 8 has been amended. No new claims have been added.

The Office Action of October 19, 2005 has been reviewed and carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that the present Response traverses or overcomes all bases of rejection, the Application is now in suitable form for allowance, and the claims as set forth are neither taught, suggested, nor rendered obvious by the references cited by the examiner.

The examiner is invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the number below if there are any questions.

Respectfully submitted,
Thomas & Betts International, Inc.



G. Andrew Barger
Reg. No. 39,899
Customer No.: 27361

c/o G. Andrew Barger, Esq.
THOMAS & BETTS CORPORATION
Legal Department 4B-36
8155 T&B Boulevard
Memphis, Tennessee 38125

A/C (901) 252-5648

In the Drawings

No changes are made herein to the drawings, which are believed to be in suitable form for allowance.